THE DATZ FOUNDATION

MON 1 0 5003

MOA + 0 5003

311 Maple Ave., West
Suite E
Vienna, VA 22160
Telephone (703) 242-9800
Fax (703) 242-9804

Nov. 12, 2003

To: United States Department of State

Re: State/AR-01/96, Proposed Regulations o Implement The Hague Convention on Intercountry Adoption

I write as director of a licensed adoption agency with licenses in Virginia, North Carolina, Maryland, and the District of Columbia. For nearly twenty year. I have worked in the field of inter-country adoption, both as an agency director and as at orney specializing in adoption law.

I have reviewed the proposed regulations to implement The Flague Convention on Intercountry Adoption in the United States, and offer the following comments:

Subpart F, 96.33(b): requires an annual audit

Comment: This is sound financial practice for any business, especially a non-profit corporation.

Subpart F, 96.33(d): Adoption agencies must maintain cash reserves to meet operating expenses for three months.

Comment: This provision is sound. Inadequate cash reserves lead to a nwise practices on the part of agencies. For example, due to circumstances beyond the agency's control, multiple refunds must sometimes be made to prospective adoptive families. A shortage of cash reserves would necessarily entail a delay in issuing refunds, thus a agendering ill will on the part of the family.

Subpart F, 96.33(h): Adoption agencies must carry \$1,000,000 per occurrence in professional liability insurance.

Comment: It is unrealistic to expect that US-based adoption agencies hould be held responsible for the errors and misdeeds of individuals and entities outside the United States. The regulations suggest that an agency could bring a legal action gainst its

SATELLITE OFFICES: 16220 FREDERICK ROAD, SUITE 4G: GAITHERSBURG, MARYLAND 20877 4545 42ND STREET, N.W., Suite 302, "ASHINGTON, D.C. 20018 A Licensed Adoption A jency: Visit ou Nebsite: http://www.datzfound.com foreign supervised providers, but the likelihood of prevailing in such a law wit and

actually collecting a judgment is slim indeed.

Furthermore, what insurance carrier will agree to cover not only a US-b: sed agency, but also its foreign providers? The agency with which I am affiliated has I cated a single insurance provider willing to provide professional liability insurance. The solicy does NOT cover our foreign providers. The most recent annual pre nium was \$14,000, and our agency places fewer than 120 children per year. I ask the authors of the regulations to make contact with the insurance industry and extract guarartees that car iers will be willing to provide the comprehensive liability insurance as envisioned—and at a reasonable annual cost.

Speaking as an attorney, I would suggest that requiring adoption agencies to carry \$1,000,000 in insurance per occurrence would invite an avalanche of frivo ous litigation. The tiniest anomaly in an intercountry adoption could potentially lead to a awauit, since the litigant would have little to lose in filing such an action.

Subpart F, 96-34: Incentive fees and contingency fees are prohibited.

Question: Given the current competition among US-based agencies, it is standard industry practice currently to pay incentive fees to persons who bring a prespective adoptive family to an agency. In other fields, incentives, commissions, and contingency fees are paid to persons responsible for contributing to the success of an enterprise. Why should this practice be prohibited in the intercountry adoption field?

Subpart F, 96-46 (c)(1): The adoption agency assumes tort, contract and other civil liability to the prospective adoptive parents for the foreign supervision previder's provision of the contracted adoption services.

Comment: See above, for Comment under Sec. 96.33(h)

Yours sincere y,

Mark Eckma , Dir. The Datz Fo. ndation

Walledinon

311 Maple A. re., W

Vienna, VA: 2180